arXiv:1301.1156v1 [math.NT] 7 Jan 2013

DERIVATION OF JACOBI FORMS FROM CONNECTIONS

JIONG YANG AND LINSHENG YIN

Abstract. For any positive integers n and m, $\mathbb{H}_{n,m} := \mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$ is called the Siegel-Jacobi space, with the Jacobi group acting on it. The Jacobi forms are defined on this space. In this article we compute the Levi-Civita connection of the Siegel-Jacobi space and use it to obtain derivations of Jacobi forms. In degree one case, we show how the raising, lowering and heat operators come out in this method and also give some new meromorphic differential operators. In higher degree cases, we obtain generalizations of these operators in determinant form. Besides these, we get a series of invariant differential operators on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$.

1. Introduction

The theory of Jacobi forms was studied by Eicher and Zagier in their book [8], and later Ziegler developed the theory of higher degree Jacobi forms ([19]). Jacobi forms are functions on the Siegel-Jacobi space and can be viewed as automorphic forms of the Jacobi group, and play an important role in number theory.

The differential operators for Jacobi forms are studied by Berndt and Schmidt by means of representation theory and Lie algebra in [2], especially they give the raising and lowering differential operators $X_{\pm}, Y_{\pm}$. As for higher degree cases, there is no complete result except for Jacobi forms on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^m$, whose linear differential operators are given by Conley and Raum ([7]).

In the paper [14], Yang and Yin introduced a new method to study the derivative operators of Siegel modular forms, that is using connections on the Siegel space to get the derivation of modular forms. Jacobi forms can be viewed as a generalization of modular forms, and so we can apply and develop this method to the Jacobi case.

Let's recall the theory of Jacobi forms first. For given fixed positive integers n, m, let $\mathbb{H}_n$ be the Siegel upper half plane of degree n, and $\mathbb{H}_{n,m} := \mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$, called the Siegel-Jacobi space. An element of $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ can be written as (Z, W) with $Z = Z^t = (z_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}, W = (w_{rs}) \in M_{m,n}$.

Let $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the symplectic group of degree n, and define

$$H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)} := \{(\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)}, \kappa + \mu\lambda^t \text{ symmetric}\}$$

It is called the Heisenberg group, and endowed with the multiplication law:

$$(\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \circ (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa') = (\lambda + \lambda', \mu + \mu', \kappa + \kappa' + \lambda^t\mu' - \mu^t\lambda')$$

The Jacobi group of degree n, index m is defined to be $G^J := \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$, endowed with the following multiplication law

$$\Big(M, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)\Big) \cdot \Big(M', (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa')\Big) = \Big(MM', (\widetilde{\lambda} + \lambda', \widetilde{\mu} + \mu'; \kappa + \kappa' + \widetilde{\lambda}\mu'^t - \widetilde{\mu}\lambda'^t)\Big)$$

with $M, M' \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}); (\lambda, \mu; \kappa), (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa') \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$and $(\widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\mu}) = (\lambda, \mu)M'$. G^Jacts on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ by

$$\Big(M, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)\Big) \cdot (Z, W) = \Big(M \cdot Z, (W + \lambda Z + \mu)(CZ + D)^{-1}\Big)$$

Definition 1.1. A (holomorphic) Jacobi form f of weight k and index M, with M a positive definite half integer $m \times m$ matrix, is a (holomorphic) function on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$, which satisfies the translation law of :

$$f(g(Z, W)) = \det(CZ + D)^k \cdot e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(MW(CZ+D)^{-1}CW^t - M(\lambda Z\lambda^t + 2\lambda W^t - \mu\lambda^t))} f(Z, W) \tag{1.1}$$

For $g = \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \right) \in G^J$.

Key words and phrases. connection, Jacobi form, invariant differential operator.

The set of Jacobi forms of weight k and index M is denoted by $J_{k,M}$, and the subset of holomorphic Jacobi forms are denoted by $J^{hol}_{k,M}$. For details of Jacobi forms we refer to [8] and [19].

The idea in this article is that if we view the Siegel-Jacobi space as a Riemann surface with an invariant metric under the Jacobi group, then the connection associated to this metric maps invariant sections to invariant sections. Thus we can get differentials of the invariant vector bundles, especially, derivations of Jacobi forms.

In section 2 we recall the concept of Levi-Civita connection and in the Siegel-Jacobi space case, this connection D can be computed out and will be given by matrix form, the explicit proof will be given in section 5.

In section 3 we consider the degree one case in detail. By taking connections on the invariant form $h = fy^k \exp(-4\pi m v^2/y)\overline{f}$, we get two raising operators D_1 and D_2(written as X_+, Y_+ in [2]) and the non-holomorphic heat operator. With more transformations we obtain the lowering operators δ_1, δ_2(written as X_-, Y_- in [2]). Our proof can be generalized to higher degree cases easily.

In modular case, we not only have non-holomorphic differential operators but also the holomorphic Serre derivatives. In the Jacobi case, we see that things are similar. The Eisenstein series and twisted Eisenstein series are called quasi-Jacobi forms in [10], and are used to define holomorphic or meromorphic differential operators for index 0 Jacobi forms. We will generalize these in Theorem 3.6 to general Jacobi forms, and obtain several meromorphic differential operators. One of these operators is correspondent to the Serre derivative for modular forms via the famous isomorphism $J^{hol}_{k,1} \sim M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$

In section 4 we consider higher degree cases. As for Jacobi forms on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^m$, things are almost the same as the degree one case, but now we get not two but $m+1$ raising operators and lowering operators. Also we can define the heat operator and change the non-holomorphic operators into meromorphic ones in Theorem 4.3.

As for higher degree cases with $n > 1$, we are not able to get linear operators. However we can define some operators in the determinant form, including both raising and lowering and the heat operator, generalizing the classical case. Explicitly we get

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in J_{k,m}$ be a Jacobi form on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$. Then we have*

(*a*) *If $n = m$, $D_1(f) = \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^tM)f) \in J_{nk+1,nM}$; $\delta_1(f) = \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}Y) \in J_{nk-1,nM}$.*

If $n < m$, choose any n rows of the matrix above and take determinant, we still get Jacobi forms in $J_{nk+1,nM}$ and $J_{nk-1,nM}$ respectively.

(*b*) *$L_M(f) = \det(-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t - 4\pi k f Y^{-1} + 2m\pi f Y^{-1}) \in J_{nk+2,nM}$;*

$D_2(f) = \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2} fY^{-1} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1}) \in J_{nk+2,nM}$;

$\delta_2(f) = \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{Z}}Y^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}VY) \in J_{nk-2,nM}$.

Details of Theorem 1.2 are given in Theorem 4.5, 4.9, 4.11, and 4.13.

Besides these, we can obtain the following holomorphic operators like the Rankin-Cohen brackets:

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be in J_{k_1,M_1}, g in J_{k_2,M_2} on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$, then*

(*a*)*If $n = m$, $\det(M_2\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}g - M_1\frac{\partial g}{\partial W}f) \in J_{n(k_1+k_2)+1,nM_1M_2}$.*

(*b*) *In general,*

$$\det\left(-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}g(m-2k_2)+\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M_1^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t g(m-2k_2)+8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial g}{\partial Z}f(m-2k_1)-\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M_2^{-1}(\frac{\partial g}{\partial W})^t f(m-2k_1)\right)$$

is a Jacobi form of weight $(k_1 + k_2) + 1$, index nM_1M_2.

Using these results, we obtain the following explicit invariant differential operators:

Theorem 1.4. *There exists operator matrix Y_-Y_+ which is invariant differential operator matrix on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$, thus each of the (k,l) entries of this matrix is an invariant differential operator on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$. And there are operators $H^j, T^j_{k,l}, U_{k,l}, V_{k,l}$ which are also all invariant differential operators.*

These operators are defined in section 4.3.

2. Levi-Civita Connections of Siegel-Jacobi Space

We recall some basic facts of the Riemann Geometry from [3]. Suppose that M is a smooth manifold, E is a vector bundle on M and $\Gamma(E)$ is the set of global sections. A connection on E is a map

$$D : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(T(M^*) \otimes E)$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) For any sections $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E)$,

$$D(s_1 + s_2) = D(s_1) + D(s_2)$$

(2) For any section $s \in \Gamma(E)$ and any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$,

$$D(\alpha s) = d\alpha \otimes s + \alpha D(s)$$

If M is a Riemann surface with a Riemannian metric $g = g_{ij} du^i du^j$, then there exists a unique torsion-free and metric-compatible connection, called the Levi-Civita connection whose Christoffel coefficients Γ^k_{ij} satisfies

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (\frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^l}) \tag{2.1}$$

where g^{ij} means the i, j factor of the inverse matric of (g_{ij}). We will compute the Levi-Civita connection of the Siegel-Jacobi space.

We first need to know the invariant metric of the Siegel-Jacobi space, in[16], J.-H Yang proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *For any two positive real numbers A and B, the following metric*

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{n,m;A,B} =& A\mathrm{Tr}(Y^{-1} dZ Y^{-1} d\overline{Z}) \\ &+ B\{\mathrm{Tr}(Y^{-1} V^t V Y^{-1} dZ Y^{-1} d\overline{Z}) + \mathrm{Tr}(Y^{-1} (dW)^t d\overline{W}) \\ &- \mathrm{Tr}(V Y^{-1} dZ Y^{-1} (d\overline{W}^t)) - \mathrm{Tr}(V Y^{-1} d\overline{Z} Y^{-1} (dW)^t)\} \end{aligned}$$

is a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$, which is invariant under the action of the Jacobi group G^J.

The symbols Y, V denotes the imaginary parts of Z and W respectively, and

$$dZ = \begin{pmatrix} dz_{1,1} & dz_{1,2} & \dots & dz_{1,n} \\ dz_{2,1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ dz_{n,1} & \dots & & dz_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}, \ dW = \begin{pmatrix} dw_{1,1} & dw_{1,2} & \dots & dw_{1,n} \\ dw_{2,1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ dw_{m,1} & \dots & & dw_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

So by the Levi-Civita connection associated to this metric, denoted by D, is invariant under the action of G^J, i.e. $gD = Dg$ for any $g \in G^J$. Especially, D maps invariant sections to invariant sections, and we can use it to get differential operators for Jacobi forms as the modular case given in [14].

Now we can compute the Levi-Civita connection. We first look at the case when $n = m = 1$. In this case, the invariant metric becomes

$$ds^2_{A,B} = Ay^{-2} dz d\bar{z} + B(v^2 y^{-3} dz d\bar{z} + y^{-1} dw d\bar{w} - vy^{-2} dz d\bar{w} - vy^{-2} dw d\bar{z})$$

So the metric matric is written as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{A}{2y^2} + \frac{Bv^2}{2y^3} & 0 & -\frac{Bv}{2y^2} \\ \frac{A}{2y^2} + \frac{Bv^2}{2y^3} & 0 & -\frac{Bv}{2y^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{Bv}{2y^2} & 0 & \frac{B}{2y} \\ -\frac{Bv}{2y^2} & 0 & \frac{B}{2y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2y^2}{A} & 0 & \frac{2vy}{A} \\ \frac{2y^2}{A} & 0 & \frac{2vy}{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2vy}{A} & 0 & \frac{2y}{B} + \frac{2v^2}{A} \\ \frac{2vy}{A} & 0 & \frac{2y}{B} + \frac{2v^2}{A} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And by the formula (2.1), we can get the connection matrix w with $w_{ij} = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k du^k$

$$w = \frac{B\sqrt{-1}}{2A} \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{2A}{By}+\frac{v^2}{y^2})dz-\frac{v}{y}dw & 0 & \frac{v^3}{y^3}dz+(-\frac{v^2}{y^2}+\frac{A}{By})dw & 0 \\ 0 & -(\frac{2A}{By}+\frac{v^2}{y^2})d\bar{z}+\frac{v}{y}d\bar{w} & 0 & -\frac{v^3}{y^3}d\bar{z}+(\frac{v^2}{y^2}+\frac{A}{By})d\bar{w} \\ -\frac{v}{y}dz+dw & 0 & (-\frac{v^2}{y^2}+\frac{A}{By})dz+\frac{v}{y}dw & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{v}{y}d\bar{z}-d\bar{w} & 0 & (\frac{v^2}{y^2}+\frac{-A}{By})d\bar{z}-\frac{v}{y}d\bar{w} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(dz) &= (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{2Ay^2})dz^2 - \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv}{Ay}dzdw + \frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{2A}dw^2 \\ &= (dz, dw) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{2Ay^2} & -\frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv}{2Ay} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv}{2Ay} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{2A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ dw \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(dw) &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv^3}{2Ay^3}dz^2 + (\frac{-\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{Ay^2} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y})dzdw + \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv}{2Ay}dw^2 \\ &= (dz, dw) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv^3}{2Ay^3} & \frac{-\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{2Ay^2} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2y} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{2Ay^2} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2y} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv}{2Ay} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ dw \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (dz, dw) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv^3}{2Ay^3} & \frac{-\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{2Ay^2} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{-1}Bv^2}{2Ay^2} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}Bv}{2Ay} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ dw \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y}dzdw \end{aligned}$$

For higher degree cases, we have the following results:

Theorem 2.2. *Let D be the Levi-Civita connection on the Riemann surface $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ associated to the invariant metric in Theorem 2.1, then D satisfies*

$$D(dZ) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{2A}(dZ, dW^t) \begin{pmatrix} 2\frac{A}{B}Y^{-1} + Y^{-1}V^tVY^{-1} & -Y^{-1}V^t \\ -VY^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dZ \\ dW \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(dW) = &\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{2A}VY^{-1}(dZ, dW^t) \begin{pmatrix} Y^{-1}V^tVY^{-1} & -Y^{-1}V^t \\ -VY^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dZ \\ dW \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \sqrt{-1}dWY^{-1}dZ \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $D(dZ)$means $\begin{pmatrix} D(dz_{11}) & D(dz_{12}) & \dots & D(dz_{1n}) \\ D(dz_{21}) & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ D(dz_{n1}) & \dots & & D(dz_{nn}) \end{pmatrix}$ *and $D(dW)$ is similar.*

The proof of the theorem by direct computation is given in the last section.

Remark 2.3. Actually, the connection is divided into two parts: the holomorphic part $D^{1,0}$ and the non-holomorphic part $D^{0,1}$. In the above theorem, we are considering holomorphic sections, which vanishes under the action of the non-holomorphic $D^{0,1}$. In general, the holomorphic and non-holomorphic part are conjugate to each other, and for simplicity, we will only consider the holomorphic case, and still write it as D.

3. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON JACOBI FORMS OF DEGREE 1

In this section we will use the connection obtained in section 2 to get some differential operators on the classical Jacobi forms, including the Raising and Lowering operators and the non-holomorphic heat operator. These operators are well known in [2], but we get them in a different way. And we will see later that this method can be generalized to higher degrees. We will also consider the holomorphic and meromorphic differential operators in the Jacobi case and get a series of new differential operators in the last subsection.

3.1. **Jacobi Forms on $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{C}$: Raising Operators and The Heat Operator.** We first consider invariant functions. Let h be in $J_{0,0}$, that is, a function invariant under the action of G^J. So if we view it as a section of a line bundle, by the invariant property of the Levi-Civita connection D, we have that

$$D(h) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}dz + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}dw$$

is invariant under the action of G^J.

For any $g = \Big(M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu, \kappa)\Big) \in G^J$, let $(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{w}) = g(z, w)$, then it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} d\widetilde{z} &= \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2}dz, \\ d\widetilde{w} &= \frac{1}{cz+d}dw + \frac{-cw - c\mu + d\lambda}{(cz+d)^2}dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}dz + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}dw = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \widetilde{z}}\frac{1}{(cz+d)^2}dz + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \widetilde{w}}\frac{1}{cz+d}dw + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \widetilde{w}}\frac{-cw - c\mu + d\lambda}{(cz+d)^2}dz.$$

Compare both sides of the equality above, we see that

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \widetilde{w}}\frac{1}{cz+d} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial w},$$

and so $\frac{\partial h}{\partial w}$ is a weight 1 index 0 Jacobi form.

Continue taking connection on $D(h)$.

$$\begin{aligned} D(D(h)) &= D(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}dz + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}dw) \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}D(dz) + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 z}dz^2 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z \partial w}dzdw + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}D(dw) + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 w}dw^2 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z \partial w}dzdw \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This is also invariant under the action of G^J. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} D(dz) &= \Gamma_1 dzdz + \Gamma_2 dzdw + \Gamma_3 dwdw, \\ D(dw) &= \Gamma'_1 dzdz + \Gamma'_2 dzdw + \Gamma'_3 dwdw. \end{aligned}$$

Now compare the dw^2 term in (3.1) with its translation by G^J, we obtain that

$$(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 w} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\Gamma'_3)dw^2$$

is invariant if we ignore the dz part of $\widetilde{dw^2}$, and this means that

$$\widetilde{\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}}\Gamma_3 + \widetilde{\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 w}} + \widetilde{\frac{\partial h}{\partial w}}\Gamma'_3 = (cz+d)^2(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 w} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\Gamma'_3)$$

So

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 w} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\Gamma'_3 \tag{3.2}$$

is a weight 2 index 0 Jacobi form.

Now we consider the general case. Let $f \in J^{hol}_{k,M}$ be a holomorphic Jacobi form, with M now an integer, then it is known that the function $h = fy^k\exp(-4\pi Mv^2/y)\overline{f}$ is invariant under the action of G^J([8]).

So as we obtained above,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial w} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}y^k\exp(-4\pi Mv^2/y)\overline{f} + \frac{\partial(y^k\exp(-4\pi Mv^2/y))}{\partial w}f\overline{f} \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}y^k\exp(-4\pi Mv^2/y)\overline{f} + 4\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y}fy^k\exp(-4\pi Mv^2/y)\overline{f} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

is a Jacobi form(non-holomorphic) in $J_{1,0}$.

Since $h = fy^k\exp(-4\pi Mv^2/y)\overline{f}$ is invariant, dividing h, then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}/f + 4\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y}$ is still in $J_{1,0}$. But f is in $J_{k,M}$, so multiplying f, we obtain that

$$D_1(f) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + 4\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y}f \tag{3.4}$$

is a weight $k+1$, index M Jacobi form.

Let $h_1 = y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y)$, so $h = f h_1 \bar{f}$. Now consider the twice differential (3.2). By explicit computation,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial^2 w} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\Gamma_3' =& \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} h_1 \overline{f}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial z} f\overline{f}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} h_1\overline{f} + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial w} f\overline{f}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} h_1\overline{f}\Gamma_3' + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial w} f\overline{f}\Gamma_3' \\ =& \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2} h_1\overline{f} + (8\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y} + \Gamma_3)\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} h_1\overline{f} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 h_1\overline{f} \\ &+ \Big(\frac{2M\pi}{y} - 16M^2\pi^2\frac{v^2}{y^2} - 2M\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2}\Gamma_3 - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y}\Gamma_3 + 4M\sqrt{-1}\pi\frac{v}{y}\Gamma_3'\Big) f h_1\overline{f}\end{aligned}$$

And so that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2} + (8\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y} + \Gamma_3')\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \Big(\frac{2M\pi}{y} - 16M^2\pi^2\frac{v^2}{y^2} - 2M\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2}\Gamma_3 - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y}\Gamma_3 + 4M\sqrt{-1}\pi\frac{v}{y}\Gamma_3'\Big) f$$

is a weight $k+2$ and index M Jacobi form.

In particular, when $M \neq 0$, by Theorem 2.2, we can let $\Gamma_3 = -8\pi M\sqrt{-1}, \Gamma_3' = -8\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y}$, and it follows that

$$L_{k,M}(f) := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2} - 8\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \frac{2M\pi - 4M\pi k}{y} f = L_M f + \frac{2M\pi - 4M\pi k}{y} f \tag{3.5}$$

is a weight $k+2$, index M Jacobi form.

When $M = 0$, we have known that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$ is in $J_{k+1,0}$, so $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2}$ is in $J_{k+2,0}$. Then the same holds as in the case $M \neq 0$, $L_{k,M}(f)$ is still a weight $k+2$, index M Jacobi form. So we get the non-holomorphic heat operator in all the cases.

Also, as we have seen in (3.2), $\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\Gamma_3'$ is in $J_{2,0}$. Now let $h = f h_1 \bar{f}$ and $\Gamma_3 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_3 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\Gamma_3' =& \frac{\partial (f h_1 \bar{f})}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (f h_1 \bar{f})}{\partial w}\frac{v}{y} \\ =& \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} h_1\bar{f} - 2\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2} f h_1\bar{f} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y} f h_1\bar{f} + \frac{v}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} h_1\bar{f} + 4\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2} f h_1\bar{f} \\ =& \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} h_1\bar{f} + 2\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2} f h_1\bar{f} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y} f h_1\bar{f} + \frac{v}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} h_1\bar{f}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $\frac{f}{h}$, we get the operator

$$D_2 f := \frac{v}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + 2\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2} f - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y} f. \tag{3.6}$$

from $J_{k,M}$ to $J_{k+2,M}$

Remark 3.1. In the case M is zero, we see that

$$\frac{v}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y} f$$

is a index 0 Jacobi form of weight $k+2$. When a modular form is viewed as an index 0 Jacobi form, since now $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = 0$, we see that this operator reduces to the non-holomorphic differential operator $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - \frac{ik}{2y} f$ of modular forms.

Now we consider the case f is not holomorphic, we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y)\overline{f} + \frac{\partial (y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y))}{\partial w} f\overline{f} + \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial w} y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y) f \tag{3.7}$$

But we remark that in this case what we get above in this chapter are still right. In fact, let f_0 be any holomorphic Jacobi forms with the same weight and index as f, then $\overline{\frac{f_0}{f}}$ is invariant under the action of the Jacobi group G^J, so instead of taking connections on $h = f y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y)\overline{f}$, we can compute the differential of $h_0 = f y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y)\overline{f_0}$, which is also invariant, following the same procedure we will get all the operators as above. Especially, the differential operators L_k, D_1, D_2 preserve the space of non-holomorphic Jacobi forms.

3.2. **Jacobi Forms on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$: Lowering Operators.** Besides the raising operators, we can also obtain the lowering operators in this method. Compare (3.3) with (3.7), we see that $\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial w} y^k \exp(-4\pi M v^2/y) f$ is in $J_{1,0}$, so is $\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial w}/\overline{f}$. Thus

$$(\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial w}/\overline{f})^2 dz$$

is invariant under the action of G^J, and so is its conjugation $(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{w}}/f)^2 d\overline{z}$. Since $y^{-2} dz d\overline{z}$ is also invariant under G^J by its translation formula, dividing it, we see that

$$(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{w}}/f)^2 y^2 \cdot \frac{1}{dz}$$

is also an invariant form. Thus we obtain that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{w}} \cdot \frac{y}{f}$ is a weight -1, index 0 Jacobi form by the translation law of dz. At last, we get a Jacobi form with weight $k-1$, index m:

$$\delta_1(f) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{w}} y \tag{3.8}$$

Similarly, compare the holomorphic and non-holomorphic case for $\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}\frac{v}{y}$, we see that

$$(\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial w}\frac{v}{y}) h_1 f dz$$

is an invariant form. Taking conjugation, this becomes

$$(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{w}}\frac{v}{y}) h_1 \bar{f} d\bar{z}$$

Dividing $hy^{-2} dz d\bar{z}$ as above, we get

$$\delta_2(f) := y^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} + vy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{w}} \tag{3.9}$$

as an operator from $J_{k,M}$ to $J_{k-2,M}$.

Now we have the concluding theorem of the above two subsections:

Theorem 3.2. *The differential operators $D_1, D_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, L_{k,M}$ maps a weight k Jacobi form to a Jacobi form of weight $k+1, k+2, k-1, k-2, k+2$ and of the same index respectively.*

Remark 3.3. The four differential operators $D_1, D_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$ are just the differential operators Y_+, X_+, Y_-, X_- on page 59 of [2] up to a constant, they form a basis of the linear differential operators of the Jacobi forms, and all other linear differential operators come from the composition of them. We get them again in this way as a new explanation, and we will later generalize this method to higher degree cases.

3.3. **Holomorphic(meromorphic) Differential Operators.** In the modular form case, besides the non-holomorphic differential operators, we also have holomorphic differential operators obtained by Eisenstein series, called Serre derivations, see[14]. In the Jacobi form case, in [10], Libgober used Eisenstein series and the "twisted Eisenstein series" to get holomorphic or meromorphic differential operators in index 0 case. We can generalize these to the general case.

Definition 3.4. ([10]) The twisted Eisenstein series on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ is given by the series

$$\widehat{G}_n(z,w) = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{(az+b+w)^n}$$

The series $\widehat{G}_n(z,w)$ converges absolutely for $n \geq 3$ and for $n = 1, 2$ is defined via "Eisenstein summation" as

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} (\cdot) = \lim_{A\to\infty} \sum_{a=-A}^{a=A} \lim_{B\to\infty} \sum_{b=-B}^{b=B} (\cdot)$$

These series are associated with the Eisenstein series by the following formula

$$G_n = \lim_{w\to 0}(\widehat{G}_n(z,w) - \frac{1}{w^n})$$

where G_n is the Eisenstein series defined by

$$G_n = \sum_{0\neq(a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{(a\tau+b)^n}.$$

We have the following proposition([10]).

Proposition 3.5. The functions $\widehat{G}_n$ are meromorphic, and we have $\widehat{G}_n \in J_{n,0}$ for $n \geq 3$; $\widehat{G}_1 + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y} \in J_{1,0}$; $\widehat{G}_2 - G_2 \in J_{2,0}$.

Proof. When $n \geq 3$, the series converge absolutely, so by definition we easily see the proposition holds. Since we have:

$$\widehat{G}_n(z,w) = \frac{1}{w^n} + (-1)^n \sum_{2m-n}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2m-1 \\ n-1 \end{array}\right) G_{2m} w^{2m-n}$$

and

$$G_2(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}) = (cz+d)^2 G_2(z,w) - 2\pi\sqrt{-1}c(cz+d)$$

So for $n = 1, 2$,

$$\widehat{G}_1(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \frac{w}{cz+d}) = (cz+d)\widehat{G}_1(z,w) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}cw$$
$$\widehat{G}_1(z, w+\lambda z+\mu) = \widehat{G}_1(z,w) - 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda$$
$$\widehat{G}_2(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \frac{w}{cz+d}) = (cz+d)^2\widehat{G}_2(z,w) - 2\pi\sqrt{-1}c(cz+d)$$
$$\widehat{G}_2(z, w+\lambda z+\mu) = \widehat{G}_2(z,w)$$

And let $\alpha(z,w) = \frac{v}{y}$, it is easily seen that

$$\alpha(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \frac{w}{cz+d}) = (cz+d)\alpha(z,w) - cw$$
$$\alpha(z, w+\lambda z+\mu) = \alpha(z,w) + \lambda$$

This ends the proof.

□

Let $\widehat{E}_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\widehat{G}_1$. The above proposition tells us that $\widehat{E}_1 - \frac{v}{y} \in J_{1,0}$. Also, in [18], we have seen that $G_2 - \frac{\pi}{y}$ is a modular form of weight 2, thus $\widehat{G}_2 - \frac{\pi}{y} \in J_{2,0}$. Combining these and the above proposition as well as the non-holomorphic differential operators we got before, we can deduce the following theorem, the index 0 case of which is known in [10].

Theorem 3.6. *Let f be in $J^{hol}_{k,M}$, then*

(*a*) $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2} - 8\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + 2M(1-2k)G_2 f$ *is a holomorphic Jacobi form with weight $k+2$, index M ;*

(*b*) $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2} - 8\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + 2M(1-2k)\widehat{G}_2 f$ *is a meromorphic Jacobi form with weight $k+2$, index M ;*

(*c*) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + 4M\pi\widehat{E}_1 f$ *is a meromorphic Jacobi form with weight $k+1$, index M ;*

(*d*) $\widehat{E}_1\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + 2\pi M\sqrt{-1}\widehat{E}_1^2 f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2\pi}(aG_2 + b\widehat{G}_2)f$ *is a meromorphic Jacobi form with weight $k+2$, index M for any a and b.*

Proof. (a)(b)(c) are direct results of Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.5. As for (d), we have the following Jacobi forms belonging to $J_{k+2,M}$:

$$D_2 f = \frac{v}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + 2\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2}f - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y}f$$

$$(\widehat{E}_1 - \frac{v}{y})D_1(f) = \widehat{E}_1\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} + 4\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v}{y}\widehat{E}_1 f - \frac{v}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} - 4\pi M\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^2}{y^2}f$$

$$2\pi M\sqrt{-1}(\widehat{E}_1-\frac{v}{y})^2 f = 2\pi M\sqrt{-1}(\widehat{E}_1^2+\frac{v}{y}^2-2\widehat{E}_1\frac{v}{y})f$$

Add these three Jacobi forms together, we get the meromorphic Jacobi form

$$\widehat{E}_1\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}+2\pi M\sqrt{-1}\widehat{E}_1^2 f-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y}f$$

And (d) follows easily from this. □

In [8], Eicher and Zagier gave a one-to-one correspondence between Jacobi forms of index 1, weight k and modular forms of weight $k-\frac{1}{2}$, which is given by:

$$\sum_{4n\geq r^2} c(4n-r^2)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nz}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}rw} \mapsto \sum_{N\equiv 0,3(mod4)} c(N)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}Nz} \tag{3.10}$$

As we easily see

$$L(\sum_{4n\geq r^2} c(4n-r^2)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nz}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}rw}) = 4\pi^2(4n-r^2)\sum_{4n\geq r^2} c(4n-r^2)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}nz}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}rw}$$

So the heat operator L corresponds to $-2\pi i\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ in this isomorphism.

Now we can show that the holomorphic differential operator has connections with differential operators on modular forms via this correspondence . There is a holomorphic differential operator $L+2(1-2k)G_2 f$ for Jacobi forms of index 1, and the Serre derivative $-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-kG_2$ on modular forms. We claim that the two operators are compatible with this isomorphism.

Corollary 3.7. *$L+2(1-2k)G_2 f$ and $-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}(k-\frac{1}{2})}{2\pi}G_2)$ are compatible with the isomorphism (3.10).*

Proof. This can be easily seen from the proof of the isomorphism (3.10) in [8]. In fact, the bijection from index 1 Jacobi forms to half integer weight modular forms are actually given by its θ-expansion. Let $\phi(z,w)\in J_{k,1}^{hol}$, then it has θ-expansion as (see [8])

$$\phi(z,w)=h_0(z)\theta_{1,0}(z,w)+h_1(z)\theta_{1,1}(z,w).$$

Set $h(z)=h_0(4z)+h_1(4z)$, then h is just the modular form in (3.9). Notice that L kills the θ functions, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi)+2(1-2k)G_2\phi = & -8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial z}\theta_{1,0}(z,w)-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial z}\theta_{1,1}(z,w) \\ & +2(1-2k)(G_2(z)h_0(z)\theta_{1,0}(z,w)+G_2(z)h_1(z)\theta_{1,1}(z,w)) \end{aligned}$$

And we immediately see that the correspondence image will be the Serre derivation of h. □

4. Higher degree cases

4.1. **Jacobi Forms on $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{C}^m$.** The case of $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{C}^m$ does not have virtual difference with the degree 1 case, and we will just introduce it briefly.

We use the notation W for $(w_1,w_2,\dots w_m)^t\in\mathbb{C}^m$, V for $(v_1,v_2,\dots v_m)^t$, the imaginary part of W. For f a Jacobi form of weight k, index M on $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{C}^m$, with

$$M=\begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & \dots & M_{1,m} \\ M_{2,1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ M_{m,1} & \dots & & M_{m,m} \end{pmatrix},$$

similar as the degree 1 case, $h=fy^k\exp(-4\pi\mathrm{Tr}(v^tMv/y))\overline{f}$ is invariant under the action of G^J. So

$$D(h)=\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}dz+\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{\partial h}{\partial w_i}dw_i$$

is invariant under the action of G^J.

Since now we have:

$$d(gz) = \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2}dz,$$
$$d(gW) = \frac{1}{cz+d}dW + \Big(\lambda - \frac{c(W+\lambda z+\mu)}{(cz+d)^2}\Big)dz,$$

for any $g = \Big(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_m)^t, \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2 \dots \mu_m)^t, \kappa)\Big) \in G^J$. Considering the dw_i terms for every i as before, $\frac{\partial h}{\partial w_i} \in J_{1,0}$.

As

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial w_i} = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y} f)y^k \exp(-4\pi \mathrm{Tr}(vMv^t/y))\overline{f}, \tag{4.1}$$

we see that

$$D_{1,i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y} f \in J_{k+1,M} \tag{4.2}$$

Continue taking connections on $D(h)$, and considering the translation formula of the $dw_i dw_j$ term in $D^2(h)$, we get when $i \neq j$,

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_i \partial w_j}$$

is a Jacobi form of weight 2, index 0. So similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_j} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{j,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + \frac{2\pi M_{i,j}}{y} f - 16\pi^2 \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{j,t}v_t}{y} f \tag{4.3}$$

is a Jacobi form in $J_{k+2,M}$.

When $i = j$, still denoting $D(dZ) = (dZ, dW^t)\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & \Gamma_2/2 \\ \Gamma_2/2 & \Gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} dZ \\ dW \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Gamma_1 + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_i^2} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial w_i}\Gamma_3 \in J_{2,0}.$$

Thus we get the weight $k+2$, index M Jacobi form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\Gamma_1 - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2y} f\Gamma_1 - 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(M[v]/y^2) f\Gamma_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i^2} + 8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + \frac{2M_{i,i}\pi}{y} f \\ &- 16\pi^2 \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}^2 f + \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i}\Gamma_3 + \sum_i 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y} f\Gamma_3 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

In general, by taking $\Gamma_1 = -8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{M_{i,s} \times M^*_{i,s}}{M^*_{i,i}}$, ($M^*_{i,s}$ means the cofactor of $M_{i,s}$) and then multiplying by $M^*_{i,i}$ on (4.4), futher by taking sums over s and i, we get a Jacobi form:

$$\begin{aligned} &- 8\pi m\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - 4|M|m\frac{\pi k f}{y} - 16\pi^2 m|M|\mathrm{Tr}(M[v]/y^2) f + \sum_i mM^*_{i,i}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i^2} \\ &+ \sum_i m8\pi i M^*_{i,i}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + \sum_i m\frac{2M_{i,i}M^*_{i,i}\pi}{y} f - \sum_i 16m\pi^2 \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}^2 fM^*_{i,i} \\ &+ m\sum_i -\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i}8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{v_i}{y} + m\sum_i 32\pi^2 \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y} f|M|\frac{v_i}{y} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, multiplying by $M^*_{i,j}$ on (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}M^*_{i,j}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_j} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}M^*_{i,j}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{j,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + \frac{2\pi M_{i,j}M^*_{i,j}}{y} f \\ &- 16\pi^2 \frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{i,t}v_t}{y}\frac{\sum_{t=1}^m M_{j,t}v_t}{y} fM^*_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these to the Jacobi function (4.5) above, we get a Jacobi form of weight $k+2$ and index M:

$$-8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - 4|M|\frac{\pi k f}{y} + \sum_{i,j} M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + \frac{2m|M|\pi}{y} f$$

We now define the heat operator for $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ by

$$L_M(f) := \sum_{i,j} M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} - 8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \tag{4.6}$$

$$L_{k,M} := -8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \sum_{i,j} M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + \frac{2m|M|\pi}{y} f - 4|M|\frac{\pi k f}{y} \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 4.1. The holomorphic heat operator L_M was first defined in [6], and is used to define Rankin-Cohen brackets.

If we consider the difference of $L_{k,M}(f)$ and $D_{1,i}$ to eliminate the $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i \partial w_j}$ terms. Explicitly writing them out, we have

$$L_{k,M}(f) + \sum_{i,j} M^*_{i,j} D_{1,j} D_{1,i}(f)$$

is a weight $k+2$, index M Jacobi form. So we get a new differential operator

$$D_2(f) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \sum_i \frac{v_i}{y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{v^t M v}{y^2} f - \sqrt{-1}\frac{kf}{2y}. \tag{4.8}$$

Similar as the degree 1 case, we immediately see that

$$\delta_{1,i}(f) = y\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{w}_i} \in J_{k+1,M} \tag{4.9}$$

And by similar method as section 3.2, we can also see that

$$\delta_2(f) = y^2\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} + y\sum_i v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{w}_i} \in J_{k+2,M} \tag{4.10}$$

We conclude the results in the following theorem

Theorem 4.2. *$D_{1,i}, D_2, L_{k,M}, \delta_{1,i}, \delta_2$ are differential operators on the Jacobi forms from $J_{k,M}$ to $J_{k+1,M}$, $J_{k+2,M}$, $J_{k+2,M}$, $J_{k-1,M}$, $J_{k-2,M}$, respectively.*

It has been shown in [7] that all the invariant differential operators arise as their composition, and they give a basis of the invariant differential operators.

As for the holomorphic differential operators, since $\widehat{E}_1(z,w_i), \widehat{G}_2(z,w_i)$ and $G_2(z)$ can be viewed as Jacobi forms on $\mathbb{H}_{1,m}$ naturally. We can still get differential operators by them.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f \in J^{hol}_{k,M}$, then*

(a) $-8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \sum_{i,j} M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + 2|M|(m-2k)G_2 f \in J^{hol}_{k+2,M}$;

(b) $-8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \sum_{i,j} M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + 2|M|(m-2k)\widehat{G}_2 f$ *is a weight $k+2$, index M meromorphic Jacobi form;*

(c) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} + 4\sum_t M_{it}\pi\widehat{E}_1(\tau, v_i) f$ *is a weight $k+1$, index M meromorphic Jacobi form;*

4.2. **Jacobi Forms on $\mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$.** Let $f \in J^{hol}_{k,M}$ be a Jacobi form on $\mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$, let

$$h_1 = \det(Y)^k \exp(-4\pi \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t)),$$

then $h = fh_1\bar{f}$ is still invariant under G^J, and we can consider the dW term in the differential.

Let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1,1}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{m,1}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1,2}} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1,n}} & \cdots & & \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{m,n}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1,1}} & \frac{\partial}{2\partial z_{1,2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{2\partial z_{1,n}} \\ \frac{\partial}{2\partial z_{1,2}} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \frac{\partial}{2\partial z_{1,n}} & \cdots & & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n,n}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$D(h) = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial Z} dZ\Big) + \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W} dW\Big), \tag{4.11}$$

is invariant and

$$d(gZ) = (CZ+D)^{-t} dZ (CZ+D)^{-1}$$
$$d(gW) = dW(CZ+D)^{-1} + \{\lambda - (W + \lambda Z + \mu)(CZ+D)^{-1}C\} dZ (CZ+D)^{-1}$$

for any $g = \Big(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu, \kappa)\Big) \in G^J$. So if we let $\vartheta = \frac{\partial h}{\partial W}$, considering the dW term under the action of Jacobi group, we find that $\vartheta(g(Z,W)) = (CZ+D)\vartheta(Z,W)$.

If $m = n$, we can take determinant of the both sides, and then we get

$$\det(\vartheta(g(Z,W))) = \det(CZ+D)\det(\vartheta(Z,W)).$$

And so $\det(\vartheta(Z,W))$ is a weight 1, index 0 Jacobi form.

For $h = fh_1\bar{f}$, we will compute $\det(\vartheta(g(Z,W)))$. First we have

Lemma 4.4. $\frac{\partial \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t)}{\partial W} = -\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tM$

Proof. Denote R by Y^{-1}. As

$$\mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t) = \sum_{a,b,r,s} M_{ab}V_{br}R_{rs}V_{as},$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t)}{\partial W_{ij}} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{a,b,r,s} M_{ab}V_{br}R_{rs}V_{as}}{\partial W_{ij}} \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{b,r} M_{ib}V_{br}R_{rj} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{a,s} M_{ai}R_{js}V_{as} \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\sum_{b,r} M_{ib}V_{br}R_{rj}. \end{aligned}$$

Since M, Y are symmetric, we have $\frac{\partial \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t)}{\partial W} = -\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tM$. □

Furthermore, as $h_1 = \det(Y)^k \exp(-4\pi \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t))$, we have

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial W} = 4\sqrt{-1}\pi Y^{-1}V^tMh_1,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\vartheta(Z,W)) &= \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}h_1\overline{f} + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial W}f\overline{f}) \\ &= \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}h_1\overline{f} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^tM)h_1f\overline{f}). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}/f + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^tM))$ is in $J_{1,0}$. So

$$D_1(f) := \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^tM)f) \in J_{nk+1,nM} \tag{4.12}$$

.

If $m > n$, we can not take the determinant directly. But we can choose n different rows of $\vartheta(Z, W)$, and take determinant of the new square matrixes so we can get $C(m, n)$ operators in the form of (4.12).

By taking an holomorphic f_0 instead as the degree 1 case, we can easily find that this result also holds for non-holomorphic Jacobi forms. So we can also generalize the lowering operator δ_1. Considering the difference of holomorphic and non-holomorphic differential as before, one can easily deduce that

$$\det(\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial W}h_1 f)$$

is a weight 1 index 0 Jacobi form. Since $\det(Y)^{-2}\det(dZ)\det(d\bar{Z})$ is invariant under the Jacobi group ([12]), dividing it in the conjugation of the above Jacobi form, we see that

$$(\det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}h_1\bar{f}))^2\det(Y^2)\det(dZ)^{-1}$$

is invariant under the action of G^J. Thus

$$\delta_1 := \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}Y)$$

is a Jacobi form in $J_{nk-1,nM}$. What we discussed above shows that

Theorem 4.5. *(a)* $\frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{W}} = (CZ+D)\frac{\partial}{\partial W}$, *where* $\widetilde{W}$ *means* gW *for* $g = [\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu, \kappa)] \in G^J$*;*

(b) If $n = m$*, for any* $f \in J_{k,M}$*,* $D_1(f) = \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^tM)f) \in J_{nk+1,nM}$*;*

(c) If $n = m$*, with the same* f*,* $\delta_1(f) = \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}Y)$ *is in* $J_{nk-1,nM}$*.*

(d) If $n < m$*, then by choosing* n *different rows and taking determinant, we can get* D_1 *and* δ_1 *for these rows.*

Next, we consider the dW^tdW term in $D^2(h)$, which is

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial Z}\Gamma_3 dW^tdW\Big) + \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W}\Gamma_3' dW^tdW\Big) + d(\sum_{1\le i\le m}\sum_{1\le j\le n}\frac{\partial h}{\partial w_{ij}}dw_{ij}). \tag{4.13}$$

Here Γ_3 and Γ_3' have the same meaning as before, and we have $\Gamma_3' = VY^{-1}\Gamma_3$ by Theorem 2.2.

Denote the i-row vector of dW by dW_i. We have $d(\widetilde{W_i}) = dW_i(CZ+D)^{-1} + T$, where T is the dZ term. The last term $d(\sum_{1\le i\le m}\sum_{1\le j\le n}\frac{\partial h}{\partial w_{ij}}dw_{ij})$ of the invariant form above can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\sum_{i\le j}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W_j})^t dW_j^t dW_i\Big),$$

with $\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W_j})^t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_{j1}\partial w_{i1}} & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_{j2}\partial w_{i1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_{jn}\partial w_{i1}} \\ \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_{j1}\partial w_{i2}} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_{j1}\partial w_{in}} & \cdots & & \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_{jn}\partial w_{in}} \end{pmatrix}$ for any function h.

Consider the $dW_j^tdW_i$ term in (4.13) for $h = fh_1\bar{f}$. First let's show that

Lemma 4.6. *We have*

(a) $\frac{\partial R_{st}}{\partial Z_{kl}} = 2^{-\delta(k,l)-1}\sqrt{-1}(R_{kt}R_{sl} + R_{ks}R_{tl})$*, where* $R = Y^{-1}$*, and* $\delta(i, j)$ *is the Dirac symbol.*

(b) $\frac{\partial \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t)}{\partial Z} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}$

(c) $\frac{\partial(\det(Y))}{\partial Z} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\det(Y)Y^{-1}$

Proof. For (a), R is uniquely determined by the equations:

$$\sum_s Y_{is} R_{st} = \delta(i,t)$$

where δ is the Dirac symbol with

$$\delta(i,t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq t \\ 1 & \text{if } i = t \end{cases}$$

Taking derivations on both sides, we get:

$$\sum_s \frac{\partial Y_{is}}{\partial Z_{kl}} R_{st} + \sum_s Y_{is} \frac{\partial R_{st}}{\partial Z_{kl}} = 0$$

That is

$$\begin{cases} \sum_s Y_{is} \frac{\partial R_{st}}{\partial Z_{kl}} = 0 & \text{if } i \neq k,l \\ -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} R_{lt} + \sum_s Y_{ks} \frac{\partial R_{st}}{\partial Z_{kl}} = 0 & \text{if } i = k \\ -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} R_{kt} + \sum_s Y_{ls} \frac{\partial R_{st}}{\partial Z_{kl}} = 0 & \text{if } i = l \end{cases}$$

And it is easily checked that if we take $\frac{\partial R_{st}}{\partial Z_{kl}} = 2^{-\delta(k,l)-1}\sqrt{-1}(R_{kt}R_{sl} + R_{ks}R_{tl})$, then the equations above are all satisfied, and this finishes the proof of (a).

For (b), by (a), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\frac{\partial \mathrm{Tr}(MVY^{-1}V^t)}{\partial Z}\Big)_{kl} &= 2^{\delta(k,l)-1} \frac{\partial (\sum_{a,b,t,s} M_{ab}V_{bs}R_{st}V_{at})}{\partial Z_{kl}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{a,b,s,t} M_{ab}V_{bs}(R_{kt}R_{sl} + R_{ks}R_{tl})V_{at} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{a,b,s,t} R_{kt}V_{at}M_{ab}V_{bs}R_{sl} \end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows.

As for (c), it is easily checked that $\frac{\partial(\det(Y))}{\partial Z_{i,j}} = -2^{\delta_{ij}-2}\sqrt{-1}(Y^*_{i,j} + Y^*_{j,i})$, with $Y^*_{i,j}$ the cofactor of $Y_{i,j}$. So $\frac{\partial(\det(Y))}{\partial Z} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\det(Y)Y^{-1}$ follows from the definition of $\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}$. □

By this lemma, in (4.13), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z} h_1 \bar{f} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2} f h_1 \bar{f} Y^{-1} - 2\sqrt{-1}\pi Y^{-1}V^t M V Y^{-1} f h_1 \bar{f}\big)\Gamma_3 dW_i^t dW_i\Big) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} h_1 \bar{f} + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^t M f h_1 \bar{f}\big) V Y^{-1}\Gamma_3 dW_i^t dW_i\Big) + \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}\big(\frac{\partial f h_1 \bar{f}}{\partial W_i}\big)^t dW_i^t dW_i\Big); \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}\big(\frac{\partial f h_1 \bar{f}}{\partial W_j}\big)^t dW_j^t dW_i\Big) \quad (i \neq j) \tag{4.15}$$

are all "invariant" under the action of G^J, if we ignore the dZ part.

As

$$d\widetilde{W}_j^t d\widetilde{W}_i = (CZ+D)^{-t} dW_j^t dW_i (CZ+D)^{-1} + (dZ\text{part}),$$

$dW_j^t dW_i$ has the same translation law as dZ under the action of Jacobi group ignoring the dZ part, so we can change it by dZ in (4.14) and (4.15). Notice that taking the transpose does not change the trace, and Z, Y are symmetric, we can rewrite $\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} h_1 \bar{f} V Y^{-1}\Gamma_3 dZ)$ as

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big(Y^{-1}V^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial W} h_1 \bar{f}\Gamma_3 dZ\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W} h_1 \bar{f} V Y^{-1}\Gamma_3 dZ\Big).$$

We will use this below instead of that of (4.14), and finally we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\Big((\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}h_1\bar{f}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2}fh_1\bar{f}Y^{-1}-2\sqrt{-1}\pi Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}fh_1\bar{f})\Gamma_3dZ\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial fh_1\bar{f}}{\partial W_i})^tdZ\Big)\\&+\mathrm{Tr}\Big((\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1}h_1\bar{f}+\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMfh_1\bar{f}VY^{-1})\Gamma_3dZ\Big)\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial fh_1\bar{f}}{\partial W_j})^tdZ\Big)\quad(i\neq j)\tag{4.17}$$

are really invariant forms. They are quite complicated, but after some calculation below, we can find a generalization of the heat operator as combination of them.

First we compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial fh_1\bar{f}}{\partial W_j})^t$ as

Lemma 4.7.

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial fh_1\bar{f}}{\partial W_j})^t\Big)_{kl}=&\frac{\partial^2f}{\partial w_{jk}\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}(MVY^{-1})_{il}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{jk}}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}(MVY^{-1})_{jk}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}\\&-16\pi^2(MVY^{-1})_{il}(MVY^{-1})_{jk}fh_1\bar{f}+2\pi M_{ij}(Y^{-1})_{kl}fh_1\bar{f}\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial fh_1\bar{f}}{\partial W_j})^t\Big)_{kl}=&\frac{\partial^2fh_1\bar{f}}{\partial w_{jk}\partial w_{il}}\\=&\frac{\partial^2f}{\partial w_{jk}\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}+\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial w_{il}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{jk}}\bar{f}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{il}}\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial w_{jk}}\bar{f}+\frac{\partial^2h_1}{\partial w_{jk}\partial w_{il}}f\bar{f}\\=&\frac{\partial^2f}{\partial w_{jk}\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}(MVY^{-1})_{il}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{jk}}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}(MVY^{-1})_{jk}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}\\&-16\pi^2(MVY^{-1})_{il}(MVY^{-1})_{jk}fh_1\bar{f}+2\pi M_{ij}(Y^{-1})_{kl}fh_1\bar{f}\end{aligned}$$

□

Denote R by Y^{-1}. Multiplying M^*_{ij} to (4.17), where M^*_{ij} are the cofactor of M_{ij}, then adding all the i,j together, we see that the (k,l)-place of the matrix of the differentials in (4.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i\neq j}(M^*_{ij}\frac{\partial^2f}{\partial w_{jk}\partial w_{il}}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}M^*_{ij}\sum_{s,t}M_{ir}V_{rs}R_{sl}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{jk}}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}M^*_{ij}\sum_{a,b}M_{ja}V_{ab}R_{bk}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{il}}\\&-16\pi^2M^*_{ij}\sum_{r,s}M_{ir}V_{rs}R_{sl}\sum_{a,b}M_{ja}V_{ab}R_{bk}f+2\pi M^*_{ij}M_{ij}R_{kl}f)h_1\bar{f}\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

If we take $\Gamma_3=-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{M_{it}\times M^*_{it}}{M^*_{i,i}}$ and then multiply $M^*_{i,i}$ on (4.16), we get the matrix as

$$\begin{aligned}&-8\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}h_1\bar{f}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2}fh_1\bar{f}Y^{-1}-2\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}fh_1\bar{f})M_{it}M^*_{it}\\&-8\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1}h_1\bar{f}+\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMfh_1\bar{f}VY^{-1})M_{it}M^*_{it}\\&+M^*_{i,i}\sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}\Big(\frac{\partial(fh_1\bar{f})}{\partial W_i}\Big)^t\end{aligned}$$

Taking sum over t, then over i, and considering the (k,l)-term, what we get is

$$\begin{aligned}
&-8\pi\sqrt{-1}m|M|(2^{-1+\delta(k,l)}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{kl}}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2}fR_{kl})h_1\bar{f}-16\pi^2m|M|\sum M_{ab}V_{bs}R_{kt}R_{sl}V_{at}fh_1\bar{f}\\
&-8\pi\sqrt{-1}m|M|(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{ls}}V_{st}R_{tk}h_1\bar{f}+\frac{1}{2}R_{lt}V_{st}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{sk}}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}M_{sb}V_{bu}R_{uk}V_{st}R_{tl}fh_1\bar{f})\\
&+m\sum_i M^*_{i,i}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_{ik}\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}+4\pi\sqrt{-1}m\sum_i M^*_{i,i}\sum_{s,r}M_{ir}V_{rs}R_{sl}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{ik}}h_1\bar{f}\\
&+4\pi\sqrt{-1}m\sum_i M^*_{i,i}\sum_{r,s}M_{ir}V_{rs}R_{sk}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{il}}h_1\bar{f}\\
&-16\pi^2m\sum M^*_{i,i}M_{ir}V_{rs}R_{sl}M_{jt}V_{tu}R_{uk}fh_1\bar{f}+2\pi m\sum M^*_{i,i}M_{ii}R_{kl}fh_1\bar{f}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding this on (4.18), we can deduce that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big((-8\pi\sqrt{-1}|M|\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}+\sum_{i,j}M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W_j})^t-4|M|\pi kfY^{-1}+2m|M|\pi fY^{-1})h_1\bar{f}dZ\Big) \tag{4.19}$$

is invariant under the action of G^J.

Lemma 4.8. $\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\sum_{i,j}M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial W_i\partial W_j}dZ\Big)=|M|\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t dZ\Big)$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\sum_{i,j}M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial W_i\partial W_j}dZ\Big)=&\sum_{i,j,k,l}M^*_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_{il}\partial w_{jk}}dZ_{kl}\\
=&\sum_{i,j,k,l}\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{il}}(M^*_{ij}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{jk}})dZ_{kl}\\
=&|M|\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t dZ\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining this lemma and (4.19) above, we can rewrite the invariant form as

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big((-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t-4\pi kfY^{-1}+2m\pi fY^{-1})h_1\bar{f}dZ\Big) \tag{4.20}$$

The same as the Siegel modular case in page 9 of [14], for any symmetric matrix function A, if we have $\mathrm{Tr}(AdZ)$ invariant under the Jacobi group, then A satisfies the translation law of

$$\widetilde{A}=(CZ+D)A(CZ+D)^t$$

with $\widetilde{A}=g(A), g=\Big(\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix},(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\Big)\in G^J$. So now denoting $A=(-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t-4\pi kfY^{-1}+2m\pi fY^{-1})h_1\bar{f}$, and taking the determinant, after dividing $fh_1\bar{f}$ as before, finally we get

Theorem 4.9.

$$L_{k,M}(f):=\det(-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t-4\pi kfY^{-1}+2m\pi fY^{-1}) \tag{4.21}$$

is a operator from $J_{k,M}$ to $J_{nk+2,nM}$. This can be viewed as a generalization of the heat operator.

Remark 4.10. The holomorphic part of this heat operator is of much importance in number theory. For example, the operator $\mathcal{M}:=8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial W})^t$ can be used to characterize the cusp condition in the definition of skew holomorphic Jacobi forms in [1]. Also, the determinant operator $\det(8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t)$ can be used to characterize singular Jacobi forms, which is showed in Theorem 4.1 of [15].

There is also an analogue of D_2. Now let h be a Jacobi form in $J_{0,0}$, i.e. h is invariant under the action of the Jacobi group. Then consider the $dW^t dW$ term in $D^2(h)$, we see as before

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial Z}\Gamma_3 dW^t dW\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W}\Gamma_3' dW^t dW\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\sum_{i\leq j}\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W_j})^t dW_j^t dW_i\Big)$$

is invariant if we replace $dW^t dW$ by dZ. But actually $\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{\partial}{\partial W_i}(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W_j})^t dZ)$ is invariant for any i, j by the translation law in 4.5(a). So taking $\Gamma_3 = 1, \Gamma_3' = VY^{-1}$, we get the invariant section

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial Z}dZ\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial W}VY^{-1}dZ\Big). \tag{4.22}$$

Especially for $h = fh_1\bar{f}$, where as before $f \in J_{k,M}^{hol}$, the expression (4.22) becomes

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}h_1\bar{f}dZ\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial Z}f\bar{f}dZ\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}h_1\bar{f}VY^{-1}dZ\Big)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial W}f\bar{f}VY^{-1}dZ\Big). \tag{4.23}$$

By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, the invariant section (4.23) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\Big((\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2}fY^{-1}-2\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}f+4\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}f+\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1})h_1\bar{f}dZ\Big)\\ &=\mathrm{Tr}\Big((\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2}fY^{-1}+2\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}f+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1}+\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}^t)h_1\bar{f}dZ\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then by the same reason as we get the Heat operator, we can easily see that

Theorem 4.11.

$$D_2(f) := \det\Big((\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}k}{2}fY^{-1}+2\pi\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^tMVY^{-1}f+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1}+\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}^t)\Big)$$

is a weight $nk+2$, index nM Jacobi form.

Remark 4.12. Actually one can show that $B := \frac{\partial h}{\partial Z}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial W}VY^{-1}$ already satisfies the transformation relation $\widetilde{B} = (CZ+D)B(CZ+D)^t$ by direct computation, so we don't need to change $\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}VY^{-1}$ into its transpose in the definition of D_2, and thus in δ_2, Y_+, K, Λ and so on in the following of the paper. But we will not prove it here, and still use the symmetric matrix.

At last we come to δ_2. As analogue of the 1-dimensional case, we compare (4.22) for non-holomorphic f with (4.23), and get that $\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial Z}dZ)fh_1+\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial W}VY^{-1}dZ)fh_1$ is invariant. So the determinant

$$\det(\frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial Z}fh_1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial W}VY^{-1}fh_1+\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial W}^t fh_1)$$

is in $J_{2,0}$. Taking conjugation and dividing $\det(Y)^{-2}\det(dZ)\det(d\bar{Z})$ as we did before, we get

Theorem 4.13. $\delta_2(f) := \det(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{Z}}Y^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}VY+\frac{1}{2}YV^t\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{W}}^t)$ *is a Jacobi form of weight $nk-2$, index nM.*

Now we have obtained generalization of the five differential operators of degree 1 to the higher degree cases, as summarized in Theorem 1.2 in the introduction. Besides these, there exists some bilinear differential operators such as the Rankin-Cohen brackets for modular forms, and for Jacobi forms of degree 1, the analogue of these brackets are also defined in [5]. Now we can define some operators for Jacobi forms of higher degree by the operators we get above as an analogue of the Rankin-Cohen brackets, although they are not bilinear, but holomorphic.

Theorem 4.14. *Let $f \in J_{k_1,M_1}$, $g \in J_{k_2,M_2}$,*

(a)If $n = m$, then $\det(M_2\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}g - M_1\frac{\partial g}{\partial W}f)$ lies in $J_{n(k_1+k_2)+1,nM_1M_2}$,

(b) In general,

$$\det\Big(-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}g(m-2k_2)+\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M_1^{-1}\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}\Big)^t g(m-2k_2)+8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial g}{\partial Z}f(m-2k_1)-\frac{\partial}{\partial W}M_2^{-1}\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial W}\Big)^t f(m-2k_1)\Big)$$

is a Jacobi form of weight $(k_1+k_2)+1$ and index nM_1M_2.

Proof. For (a), recall the translation law of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}/f + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^t M_1)$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial W}/g + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^t M_2)$ under G^J, we see that if we let $\theta = M_2\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}/f - M_1\frac{\partial g}{\partial W}/g$, then we have

$$\widetilde{\theta} = (CZ+D)\theta.$$

Thus $\det(\theta) \in J_{1,0}$ and (a) follows easily.

As for (b), by (4.20), it is known that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big((-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}/f + \frac{\partial}{\partial W}M_1^{-1}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W})^t/f - 4\pi k_1 Y^{-1} + 2m\pi Y^{-1})dZ\Big)$$

is invariant. And for g we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big((-8\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial g}{\partial Z}/g + \frac{\partial}{\partial W}M_2^{-1}(\frac{\partial g}{\partial W})^t/g - 4\pi k_2 Y^{-1} + 2m\pi Y^{-1})dZ\Big).$$

So considering their differences, we find that (b) holds. □

4.3. **Invariant Differential Operators.** In this subsection we will study the invariant differential operators for the Siegel-Jacobi space, that is, differential operators invariant under the action of the Jacobi group. For the space $\mathbb{H}_{1,m}$, things are clear, they just come from the composition of raising and lowering operators, as is shown in proposition 2.8 of [7]. While for higher cases, not much is known. What we discussed above for the higher degree Jacobi forms help us to get some invariant differential operators in these cases.

Set

$$Y_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial W}, \quad Y_{+,k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W_k}, \quad Y_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}}^t Y, \quad Y_{-,k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}_k}^t Y,$$

$$X_+ = 2\sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} + \sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial}{\partial W} + \big(\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial}{\partial W}\big)^t, \quad X_- = Y(Y\overline{Y}_+)^t,$$

$$K = 2\sqrt{-1}Y\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} + \sqrt{-1}V^t\frac{\partial}{\partial W}^t + \sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial}{\partial W}^t Y)^t = YX_+,$$

$$\Lambda = 2\sqrt{-1}Y\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}} + \sqrt{-1}V^t\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}}^t + \sqrt{-1}(Y^{-1}V^t\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}}^t Y)^t.$$

Then we have

Proposition 4.15.

$$\widetilde{Y}_+ = (CZ+D)Y_+; \quad \widetilde{X}_- = Y_-(CZ+D)^{-1}; \quad \widetilde{Y}_{+,k} = (CZ+D)Y_{+,k}; \quad \widetilde{Y}_{-,k} = Y_{-,k}(CZ+D)^{-1};$$

$$\widetilde{X}_+ = (CZ+D)\big((CZ+D)X_+\big)^t; \quad \widetilde{X}_- = (CZ+D)^{-t}\big((CZ+D)^{-1}X_-\big)^t;$$

$$\widetilde{K} = (C\overline{Z}+D)^{-t}\Big((CZ+D)K^t\Big)^t; \quad \widetilde{\Lambda} = (CZ+D)^{-t}\Big((C\overline{Z}+D)\Lambda^t\Big)^t.$$

Proof. The statement for Y_+ has been shown in Theorem 4.5, so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{\overline{W}}} = (C\bar{Z}+D)\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}}.$$

And we have

$$\widetilde{Y} = (C\bar{Z}+D)^{-t}Y(CZ+D)^{-1} = (CZ+D)^{-t}Y(C\bar{Z}+D)^{-1} \tag{4.24}$$

Thus $\widetilde{Y}_- = Y_-(CZ+D)^{-1}$. The statements for $Y_{+,k}$ and $Y_{-,k}$ can also be checked easily. In (4.22), we know that for any h which is invariant under the Jacobi group, $\mathrm{Tr}(X_+(h)dZ)$ is also invariant. This means that

$$\widetilde{X}_+ = (CZ+D)\big((CZ+D)X_+\big)^t,$$

and thus

$$\widetilde{K} = (C\overline{Z}+D)^{-t}\Big((CZ+D)K^t\Big)^t; \quad \widetilde{\Lambda} = (CZ+D)^{-t}\Big((C\overline{Z}+D)\Lambda^t\Big)^t;$$

$$\widetilde{X}_- = (CZ+D)^{-t}\Big((CZ+D)^{-1}X_-\Big)^t.$$

□

$\mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda K)$ is not invariant, but we have relation

$$\Big((C\overline{Z}+D)\Lambda^t\Big)^t = \Lambda(C\overline{Z}+D)^t - \frac{n+1}{2}(Z-\overline{Z})C^t,$$

so we get

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}\widetilde{K} = (CZ+D)^{-t}\Big(\Lambda(C\overline{Z}+D)^t - \frac{n+1}{2}(CZ+D)^t + \frac{n+1}{2}(C\overline{Z}+D)^t\Big)(C\overline{Z}+D)^{-t}\Big((CZ+D)K^t\Big)^t$$

which can be written as

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}\widetilde{K} + \frac{n+1}{2}\widetilde{K} = (CZ+D)^{-t}\Big((CZ+D)(\Lambda K + \frac{n+1}{2}K)^t\Big)^t.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\Lambda}\widetilde{K} + \frac{n+1}{2}\widetilde{K}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda K + \frac{n+1}{2}K).$$

Following H.Maass, we set

$$A^{(1)} = (\Lambda K + \frac{n+1}{2}K).$$

$$A^{(j)} = A^{(1)}A^{(j-1)} - \frac{n+1}{2}\Lambda A^{(j-1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda\mathrm{Tr}(A^{(j-1)}) + \frac{1}{2}(Z-\overline{Z})\Big((Z-\bar{Z})^{-1}(\Lambda^t A^{(j-1)t})^t\Big)^t.$$

By the same argument as in page 111 to 116 of [11], one can show that

$$\widetilde{A}^{(j)} = (CZ+D)^{-t}\Big((CZ+D)A^{(j)t}\Big)^t,$$

and

$$H^j := \mathrm{Tr}(A^{(j)})$$

is invariant under the action of the Jacobi group.

Moreover, if we set

$$T^j_{k,l} = \mathrm{Tr}(Y^t_{-,k}Y^t_{+,l}A^{(j)}), \quad U_{k,l} = \mathrm{Tr}(Y^t_{-,k}Y_{-,l}X_+), \quad V_{k,l} = \mathrm{Tr}(Y_{+,k}Y^t_{+,l}X_-),$$

then they are all invariant differential operators.

Theorem 4.16. *The operator matrix Y_-Y_+ is invariant differential operator matrix on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$, thus each of the (k,l) entries of this matrix is an invariant differential operator on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$. And the operators $H^j, T^j_{k,l}, U_{k,l}, V_{k,l}$ are also all invariant differential operators.*

Remark 4.17. The invariant operator Y_-Y_+ has already been known in proposition 4.2 of [17]. We conjecture that all the invariant differential operators come as the combination of the operators in Theorem 4.15 as in the case of $\mathbb{H}_{1,m}$.

5. COMPUTATION OF THE CONNECTIONS

In this section we will give the proof of Theorem 2.2 by direct computation. The notations and idea are similar to [14]. Set $\Omega = \{(i,j)|1 \le i \le j \le n\}, \Omega' = \{(i',j')|1 \le i' \le m, 1 \le j' \le n\}$, and fix the notation $I = (i,j)$, $J = (r,s)$, $K = (p,q)$, $L = (a,b) \in \Omega$; $I' = (i',j')$, $J = (r',s')$, $K' = (p',q')$, $L' = (a',b') \in \Omega$ in the following, we define $Z_I := Z_{ij}, W_{I'} = W_{i'j'}$.

Let $R := (R_{ij})_{n\times n} = Y^{-1}$. Then the Riemann-metric on the Siegel-Jacobi space in Theorem 2.1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2_{n,m;A,B} =& A\mathrm{Tr}(RdZRd\overline{Z}) \\
&+ B\Big(\mathrm{Tr}(Y^{-1}V^tVRdZRd\overline{Z}) + \mathrm{Tr}(R(dW)^t d\overline{W}) - \mathrm{Tr}(VRdZR(d\overline{W}^t)) - \mathrm{Tr}(VRd\overline{Z}R(dW)^t)\Big) \\
=& A\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n} R_{ir}R_{js}dZ_{ij}d\bar{Z}_{rs} \\
&+ B\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{ra}V_{ba}V_{bk}R_{ki}dZ_{ij}R_{jr}d\bar{Z}_{rs} \\
&+ B\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{rj}dW_{ij}d\bar{W}_{ir} - B\sum_{r=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n} V_{rk}R_{ki}dZ_{ij}R_{js}d\bar{W}_{rs} \\
&- B\sum_{r=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n} V_{rk}R_{ki}d\bar{Z}_{ij}R_{js}dW_{rs} \\
=& A\sum_{i\leq j}\sum_{r\leq s} 2^{1-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)} \times (R_{ir}Rjs + R_{jr}R_{is})d\bar{Z}_{rs}dZij \\
&+ B\sum_{i\leq j}\sum_{r\leq s}\sum_{k=1}\sum_{l=1}\sum_{a=1} 2^{-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)}(R_{sk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{li}R_{jr} \\
&+ R_{sk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lj}R_{ir} + R_{rk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{li}R_{js} + R_{rk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lj}R_{is})dZ_{ij}d\bar{Z}_{rs} \\
&+ B\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{rj}dW_{ij}d\bar{W}_{ir} \\
&- B\sum_{r=1}\sum_{k=1}\sum_{i\leq j}\sum_{s=1} 2^{-\delta(i,j)}V_{rk}(R_{ki}R_{js} + R_{kj}R_{is})dZ_{ij}d\bar{W}_{rs} \\
&- B\sum_{r=1}\sum_{k=1}\sum_{i\leq j}\sum_{s=1} 2^{-\delta(i,j)}V_{rk}(R_{ki}R_{js} + R_{kj}R_{is})d\bar{Z}_{ij}dW_{rs}
\end{aligned}$$

Now the Riemann-metric matrix associated to this metric is given by

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W^1 & 0 & W^2 \\ W^1 & 0 & W^2 & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tW^2 & 0 & W^3 \\ {}^tW^2 & 0 & W^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $W^1 = (W^1_{I,J})_{I,J\in\Omega}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
W^1_{I,J} =& A2^{-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)} \times (R_{ir}R_{js} + R_{jr}R_{is}) \\
&+ B\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)}V_{ak}V_{al}(R_{sk}R_{li}R_{jr} + R_{sk}R_{lj}R_{ir} + R_{rk}R_{li}R_{js} + R_{rk}R_{lj}R_{is}).
\end{aligned}$$

$W^2 = (W^2_{I,J'})_{I\in\Omega,J'\in\Omega'}$

$$W^2_{I,J'} = -B\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}V_{r'k}(R_{ki}R_{js'} + R_{kj}R_{is'}),$$

$W^3 = (W^3_{I',J'})_{I',J'\in\Omega'}$, and

$$W^3_{I',J'} = 2^{-1}B\delta(i',s')R_{r'j'}$$

To compute the connection, by (2.1), we have to know the inverse of W. Let $M^1 = (M^1_{I,J})_{I,J\in\Omega}$, $M^2 = (M^2_{I,J,})_{I\in\Omega J'\in\Omega'}$, and $M^3 = (M^3_{I',J'})_{I',J'\in\Omega'}$, with

$$M^1_{I,J} = \frac{1}{A}Y_{ir}Y_{js} + \frac{1}{A}Y_{jr}Y_{is}$$

$$M^2_{I,J'} = \frac{1}{A}V_{r'i}Y_{js'} + \frac{1}{A}V_{r'j}Y_{is'}$$

$$M^3_{I',J'} = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{1}{A}V_{rk}R_{kl}V_{il}Y_{js} + \frac{1}{A}V_{ri}V_{js} + \frac{2}{B}\delta(i,r)Y_{js}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M^1 & 0 & M^2 \\ M^1 & 0 & M^2 & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tM^2 & 0 & M^3 \\ {}^tM^2 & 0 & M^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, *then M is the inverse of W.*

Proof. (1) Recall that $I=(i,j)$, $J=(r,s)$,and $K=(p,q)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^1_{IJ}W^1_{JK} =& \sum_{r\leqq s} M^1_{(i,j)(r,s)}W^1_{(r,s)(p,q)} \\
=&\delta(I,K) + \frac{B}{A}\sum_{r\leqq s}(Y_{ir}Y_{js}+Y_{jr}Y_{is})\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(p,q)-\delta(r,s)}\times \\
&(R_{qk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lr}R_{sp} + R_{qk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{ls}R_{rp} + R_{pk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lr}R_{sq} + R_{pk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{ls}R_{rq})\Big) \\
=&\delta(I,K) + \frac{B}{A}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-\delta(p,q)}\Big(Y_{ir}Y_{jr}(R_{qk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lr}R_{rp} + R_{pk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lr}R_{rq})\Big) \\
&+\frac{B}{A}\sum_{r<s}(Y_{ir}Y_{js}+Y_{jr}Y_{is})\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(p,q)}\times \\
&(R_{qk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lr}R_{sp} + R_{qk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{ls}R_{rp} + R_{pk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lr}R_{sq} + R_{pk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{ls}R_{rq})\Big) \\
=&\delta(I,K) + \frac{B}{A}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(p,q)}(Y_{ir}Y_{js}+Y_{jr}Y_{is}) \\
&\times(R_{qk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{ls}R_{rp} + R_{pk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{ls}R_{rq}) \\
=&\delta(I,K) + \frac{B}{A}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(p,q)}V_{ak}V_{al} \\
&\times\Big(\delta(i,p)\delta(j,l)R_{qk} + \delta(i,q)\delta(j,l)R_{pk} + \delta(j,p)\delta(i,l)R_{qk} + \delta(j,q)\delta(i,l)R_{pk}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{J\in\Omega'} M^2_{IJ'}W^2_{KJ'} =& \sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{s'=1}^{n} M^2_{(i,j)(r',s')}W^2_{(p,q)(r',s')} \\
=&-\frac{B}{A}\sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{s'=1}^{n}(V_{r'i}Y_{js'}+V_{r'j}Y_{is'})\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}V_{r'k}(R_{ki}R_{js'}+R_{kj}R_{is'})\Big) \\
=&-\frac{B}{A}\sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}\Big(V_{r'i}V_{r'k}(\delta(j,q)R_{pk}+\delta(j,p)R_{qk}) \\
&+V_{r'j}V_{r'k}(\delta(i,p)R_{qk}+\delta(i,q)R_{pk})\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding these equalities together, we can easily see that

$$\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^1_{IJ}W^1_{JK} + \sum_{J,\in\Omega'} M^2_{IJ'}W^2_{KJ'} = \delta(I,K) \tag{5.1}$$

(2) We will now compute $\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^1_{IJ}W^2_{JK'} + \sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^2_{IJ'}W^3_{J'K'}$. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^1_{IJ}W^2_{JK'} =& \sum_{r\le s} M^1_{(i,j)(r,s)}W^2_{(r,s)(p',q')} \\
=& \frac{1}{A}\sum_{r\le s}(Y_{ir}Y_{js}+Y_{jr}Y_{is})\Big(-B\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2^{-1-\delta(r,s)}V_{p'k}(R_{kr}R_{sq'}+R_{sk}R_{rq'})\Big) \\
=& -\frac{B}{2A}\sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{p'k}(\delta(i,k)\delta(j,q')+\delta(j,k)\delta(i,q')) \\
=& -\frac{B}{2A}V_{p'i}\delta(j,q')+2^{-1}V_{p'j}\delta(i,q'),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^2_{IJ'}W^3_{J'K'} =& \sum_{r=1}^{m}\sum_{s=1}^{n} M^2_{(i,j)(r',s')}W^3_{(r',s')(p',q')} \\
=& \frac{B}{2A}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(V_{r'i}Y_{js'}+V_{r'j}Y_{is'})\delta(r',p')R_{s'q'} \\
=& \frac{B}{2A}\Big(V_{p'i}\delta(j,q')+V_{p'j}\delta(i,q')\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^1_{IJ}W^2_{JK'} + \sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^2_{IJ'}W^3_{J'K'} = 0.$$

(3) We compute $\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^2_{K'J}W^1_{JI} + \sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^3_{K'J'}W^2_{J'I}$, which is more complicated. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^2_{K'J}W^1_{JI} =& \sum_{r\le s} M^2_{(p',q')(r,s)}W^1_{(r,s)(i,j)} \\
=& \frac{1}{A}\sum_{r\le s}(V_{p'r}Y_{sq'}+V_{p's}Y_{rq'})A2^{-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)} \times (R_{ir}Rjs+R_{jr}R_{is}) \\
& + \sum_{r\le s}(V_{p'r}Y_{sq'}+V_{p's}Y_{rq'})B\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)}\times \\
& (R_{sk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{li}R_{jr}+R_{sk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lj}R_{ir}+R_{rk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{li}R_{js}+R_{rk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lj}R_{is}) \\
=& \sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n} 2^{-\delta(i,j)}(V_{p'r}Y_{sq'}+V_{p's}Y_{rq'})R_{ri}R_{sj} \\
& + \frac{B}{A}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(V_{p'r}Y_{sq'}+V_{p's}Y_{rq'})\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}(R_{sk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{li}R_{jr}+R_{sk}V_{ak}V_{al}R_{lj}R_{ir}) \\
=& 2^{-\delta(i,j)}(\sum_{r=1}^{n} V_{p'r}R_{ri}\delta(q',j)+\sum_{s=1}^{n} V_{p's}R_{sj}\delta(q',i)) + \frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)-\delta(r,s)}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m} V_{ak}V_{al} \\
& \Big(\sum_{r=1}^{n}(V_{p'r}R_{rj}R_{il}\delta(q',k)+V_{p'r}R_{ri}R_{jl}\delta(q',k)) + (\sum_{s=1}^{n}(V_{p's}R_{sk}R_{il}\delta(q',j)+V_{p's}R_{sk}R_{jl}\delta(q',i))\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^3_{K'J'}W^2_{J'I} =& \sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{s'=1}^{n} M^3_{(p',q')(r',s')}W^2_{(r',s')(i,j)}\\
=& \sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{s'=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{1}{A}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} V_{r'k}R_{kl}V_{p'l}Y_{q's'} + \frac{1}{A}V_{r'p'}V_{q's'} + \frac{2}{B}\delta(p',r')Y_{q's'}\Big)\\
&\Big(-B\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}V_{r'k}(R_{ki}R_{js'}+R_{kj}R_{is'})\Big)\\
=& -\frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}\sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\Big(V_{r'k}R_{kl}V_{p'l}V_{r't}(R_{ti}\delta(q',j)+R_{tj}\delta(q',i))\Big)\\
&-\frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}\sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{s'=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} V_{r'p'}V_{q's'}V_{r't}(R_{ti}R_{js'}+R_{tj}R_{is'})\\
&-2^{-\delta(i,j)}\sum_{t=1}^{n} V_{p't}\Big(R_{ti}\delta(q',j)+R_{tj}\delta(q',i)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now it is easily checked that

$$\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^2_{K'J}W^1_{JI} + \sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^3_{K'J'}W^2_{J'I} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

(4)At last we calculate $\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^2_{JI'}W^2_{JK'} + \sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^3_{I'J'}W^3_{J'K'}$. First

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^2_{JI'}W^2_{JK'} =& \sum_{r\le s} M^2_{(r,s)(i',j')}W^2_{(r,s)(p',q')}\\
=& -\frac{B}{A}\sum_{r\le s}(V_{i'r}Y_{sj'}+V_{i's}Y_{rj'})\sum_{k=1}^{n}2^{-1-\delta(r,s)}V_{p'k}(R_{kr}R_{sq'}+R_{ks}R_{rp'})\\
=& -\frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(r,s)}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{p'k}(V_{i'r}Y_{sj'}+V_{i's}Y_{rj'})R_{kr}R_{sq'}\\
=& -\frac{B}{2A}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big(V_{p'k}V_{i'l}R_{lk}\delta(q',j') + V_{p'k}V_{i'l}R_{lq'}\delta(k,j')\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^3_{I'J'}W^3_{J'K'} =& \sum_{r',s,} M^3_{(r',s')(i',j')}W^3_{(r',s')(p',q')}\\
=& \frac{B}{2}\sum_{r'=1}^{m}\sum_{s'=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{1}{A}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} V_{r'k}R_{kl}V_{i'l}Y_{j's'}\\
&+\frac{1}{A}V_{r'i'}V_{j's'} + \frac{2}{B}\delta(i',r')Y_{j's'}\Big)\delta(i',s')R_{r'j'}\\
=& \frac{B}{2A}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} V_{p'k}V_{i'l}R_{kl}\delta(q',j') + \sum_{s'=1}^{n} V_{p'j'}V_{i's'}R_{s'q'}\Big) + \delta(q',j')\delta(i',p').\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{J\in\Omega} M^2_{JI'}W^2_{JK'} + \sum_{J'\in\Omega'} M^3_{I'J'}W^3_{J'K'} = \delta(I',K') \tag{5.3}$$

Thus we have shown that $WM = I$.

□

We will only prove the expression for $D(dZ)$ in Theorem 2.2, the other part is the same. Similarly to section 3.2 in [14], we can compute $\Gamma^K_{I,J}$ as

$$\Gamma^K_{I,J} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{L\in\Omega}\Big(W^1_{IL}\frac{\partial M^1_{KL}}{\partial Z_J} + W^1_{JL}\frac{\partial M^1_{KL}}{\partial Z_I}\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{L'\in\Omega'}\Big(W^2_{IL'}\frac{\partial M^2_{KL'}}{\partial Z_J} + W^2_{JL'}\frac{\partial M^2_{KL'}}{\partial Z_I}\Big)$$

where $\Gamma^K_{I,J}$ means the Christoffel symbol $\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$, and α, β, γ are the indices corresponding to I, J, K respectively. For details, see section 3 of [14]. Before the calculation, we define

$$\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z_{pa} = Z_{rs} \\ 0 & \text{if } Z_{pa} \neq Z_{rs} \end{cases}$$

Then now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\sum_{L\in\Omega} W^1_{IL}\frac{\partial M^1_{KL}}{\partial Z_J} =& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a\le b} A2^{-\delta(i,j)-\delta(a,b)} \times (R_{ia}Rjb + R_{ja}R_{ib}) \\
& \times \frac{1}{A}(\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)}Y_{qb} + \sigma_{(q,b)(r,s)}Y_{pa} + \sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)}Y_{pb} + \sigma_{(p,b)(r,s)}Y_{qa}) \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a\le b} B\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)-\delta(a,b)} \times V_{kt}V_{kl} \\
& (R_{bl}R_{ia}R_{jt} + R_{ja}R_{it}R_{bl} + R_{ib}R_{jt}R_{al} + R_{jb}R_{it}R_{al})\times \\
& \frac{1}{A}\big(\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)}Y_{qb} + \sigma_{(q,b)(r,s)}Y_{pa} + \sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)}Y_{pb} + \sigma_{(p,b)(r,s)}Y_{qa}\big) \\
=& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n} 2^{-\delta(i,j)}R_{ia}R_{jb}\Big(Y_{qb}\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)} + Y_{pa}\sigma_{(q,b)(r,s)} + Y_{pb}\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)} + Y_{qa}\sigma_{(p,b)(r,s)}\Big) \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n}\frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} V_{kt}V_{kl}\Big((R_{ia}R_{jt} + R_{ja}R_{it})\delta(q,l)\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)} \\
& + (R_{it}R_{bl} + R_{jt}R_{bl})\delta(i,q)\sigma_{(p,b)(r,s)} \\
& + (R_{ia}R_{jt} + R_{ja}R_{it})\delta(p,l)\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)} + (R_{it}R_{bl} + R_{jt}R_{bl})\delta(i,p)\sigma_{(q,b)(r,s)}\Big) \\
=& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n} 2^{-\delta(i,j)}R_{ia}\Big(\delta(q,j)\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)} + \delta(p,j)\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)}) \\
& + R_{ja}(\delta(p,i)\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)} + \delta(q,i)\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)}\Big) \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}V_{kt}(R_{ia}R_{jt} + R_{ja}R_{it})(V_{kq}\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)} + V_{kp}\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)}) \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{b=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{B}{A}2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}\Big(V_{kt}V_{kl}(R_{it}R_{bl}\delta(j,q) + R_{jt}R_{bl}\delta(i,q))\sigma_{(p,b)(r,s)} \\
& + V_{kt}V_{kl}(R_{it}R_{bl}\delta(j,p) + R_{jt}R_{bl}\delta(i,p))\sigma_{(q,b)(r,s)}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\sum_{L'\in\Omega'} W^2_{IL'}\frac{\partial M^2_{KL'}}{\partial Z_J} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\frac{B}{A}\sum_{a'=1}^{m}\sum_{b'=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}V_{a'k}(R_{ik}R_{jb'} + R_{jk}R_{ib'})(\sigma_{(q,b')(r,s)}V_{a'p} + \sigma_{(p,b')(r,s)}V_{a'q}) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\frac{B}{A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n} 2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}V_{kt}(R_{it}R_{ja} + R_{ja}R_{it})(V_{kp}\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)} + V_{kq}\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)})
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^K_{IJ} =& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{n} 2^{-\delta(i,j)}\Big(R_{ia}(\delta(q,j)\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)} + \delta(p,j)\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)}) + R_{ja}(\delta(p,i)\sigma_{(q,a)(r,s)} + \delta(q,i)\sigma_{(p,a)(r,s)})\Big) \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4A}\sum_{b=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{m} B2^{-1-\delta(i,j)}\Big(V_{kt}V_{kl}((R_{it}R_{bl}\delta(j,q) + R_{jt}R_{bl}\delta(i,q))\sigma_{(p,b)(r,s)}) \\
& + V_{kt}V_{kl}\big((R_{it}R_{bl}\delta(j,p) + R_{jt}R_{bl}\delta(i,p)\big)\sigma_{(q,b)(r,s)}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

If $p = q$, then $\Gamma^K_{I,J} \neq 0$ only when Z_{ij} and Z_{rs} belong to the same row or column with Z_{pp}.

If $i=r=p$, $\Gamma_{IJ}^{K}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}R_{js}\text{-}\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{4A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}V_{kt}V_{kl}R_{jt}R_{sl}$;
if $i=s=p$, $\Gamma_{IJ}^{K}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}R_{jr}\text{-}\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{4A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}V_{kt}V_{kl}R_{jt}R_{rl}$;
If $j=r=p$, $\Gamma_{IJ}^{K}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}R_{is}\text{-}\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{4A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}V_{kt}V_{kl}R_{it}R_{sl}$;
If $j=s=p$, $\Gamma_{IJ}^{K}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}R_{ir}\text{-}\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{4A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}V_{kt}V_{kl}R_{it}R_{rl}$;

If $p<q$, we only consider the case when Z_{ij} belongs to the same row with Z_{pq}, Z_{rs} belongs to the same column with Z_{pq}, other cases are the same.

Consider the four cases, $i=p=j, r=s=q$; $i=p=j, r<s=q$; $i=p<j, r=s=q$; $i=p=r, j=s=q$ respectively, it is easy to see that in each of the cases, we always have

$$\Gamma_{IJ}^{K}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}R_{jr}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{8A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}V_{kt}V_{kl}R_{jt}R_{rl}.$$

If $i=p<j, r<s=q, i\neq r$, or $j\neq q$, we have

$$\Gamma_{IJ}^{K}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}R_{jr}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{4A}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}V_{kt}V_{kl}R_{jt}R_{rl}.$$

The other cases are similar. Then since we can compute $D(dZ)$ as

$$D(dZ_K)=-\sum_{I,J\in\Omega}\Gamma_{I,J}^{K}dZ_I dZ_J-\sum_{I'\in\Omega',J\in\Omega}\Gamma_{I',J}^{K}dZ_I' dZ_J-\sum_{I\in\Omega,J'\in\Omega'}\Gamma_{I,J'}^{K}dZ_I dZ_J'-\sum_{I',J'\in\Omega'}\Gamma_{I',J'}^{K}dZ_I' dZ_J',$$

we can easily deduce that the $dZdZ$ part in $D(dZ)$ can be written as

$$dZ(\sqrt{-1}Y^{-1}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{2A}Y^{-1}V^tVY^{-1})dZ.$$

The other parts can be got in the similar way and finally we can check that

$$D(dZ)=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}B}{2A}(dZ,dW^t)\begin{pmatrix}-2\frac{A}{B}Y^{-1}-Y^{-1}V^tVY^{-1} & Y^{-1}V^t\\ VY^{-1} & -I\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}dZ\\ dW\end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have completed the theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Arakawa, Siegels formula for Jacobi forms, Internat. J. Math. 4-5(1993), 689-719.
[2] R.Berndt and R.Schmidt: Elements of the Representation Theory of the Jacobi Group, Progress in Mathematics,vol. 163. Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
[3] S.S.Chern and W.H.Chen,K.S.Lam, Lectures on Differential Geometry, World Scientific, 2000
[4] Y. Choie, Jacobi Forms and the Heat Operator, Math. Z. 225, No. 1 (1997), 95-101.
[5] Y.Choie and W.Eholzer, Rankin-Cohen operators for Jacobi and Siegel forms, J. Number Theory, 68 (1998), 160-177.
[6] Y.Choie and H.Kim, Differential operators on Jacobi forms of several variables, J. Number Theory, 82 (2000), 140-163
[7] C. Conley and M. Raum, Harmonic Maass-Jacobi forms of degree 1 with higher rank indices, arXiv:1012.289/v1 [math.NT] 13 Dec 2010.
[8] Martin Eichler and Don Zagier: The Theory of Jacobi Forms, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 55. Birkhauser, Boston, 1985.
[9] M.Gaberdiel and C.Keller, Differential operators for elliptic genera, arXiv 0904.1831
[10] A. Libgober, Elliptic genera, real algebraic varieties and quasi-Jacobi forms, arXiv:0904.1026, in Topology of Stratified Spaces, MSRI Publications 58, 2011
[11] H. Maass, Siegel modular functions and Dirichlet series, Lecture Notes in Math. 216, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971
[12] C. L. Siegel, Topics in Complex Function Theory : Abelian Functions and Modular Functions of Several Variables, vol. III, Wiley-Interscience, 1973.
[13] A.Weil, Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker, Springer,Berlin, 1999.
[14] Enlin Yang and Linsheng Yin, Derivations of Siegel modular forms from connections, arXiv 1207.1756
[15] J.-H. Yang, Singular Jacobi Forms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (6) (1995), 2041-2049.
[16] J.-H Yang, Invariant metrics and Laplacians on Siegel-Jacobi space, J. Number Thoery, 127(2007), 83-102.
[17] J.-H. Yang, Invariant Differential Operators on the Siegel-Jacobi Space, arXiv:1107.0509v1 [math.NT], July 2011
[18] D. Zagier, Elliptic Modular Forms and Their Applications, In The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms: Lectures at a Summer School in Nordfjordeid, Norway (K. Ranestad, ed.), Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, 1-103.
[19] C. Ziegler, Jacobi Forms of Higher Degree, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg 59 (1989), 191-224.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA 100084
E-mail address: `yangjiong10@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, lsyin@math.tsinghua.edu.cn.`